

## GLA User Group Actions Log – 19 September 2007 Meeting

## **Open Actions:**

No	Meeting	Description	Response	Licensing News	Status
1	16/05/07	Licensing News to remind LPs about renewal		To be included in future LN	Open
3	16/05/07	Various AWO issues:			
		a) Information on appeals on AWO to be provided by the GLA when results known via Licensing News		To be included in future LN	Open
		b) GLA position on applicability of AWO to workers on Contracts for Service	Awaiting Defra legal advice	To be included in future LN	Open
		c) Agricultural Rigs - Confirmation sought that standard Working Time rules apply not drivers working time rules.	That is the GLA position.	To be included in future LN	Open
		d) Workers are used by a LP at various agricultural assignments. Worker works 20 hours at Farmer 1, 15 hours at Farmer 2, 18 hours at Farmer 3. Total of 53 hours. AWO says they qualify for overtime rates if working more than 39 hours per week. No single farmer will pay overtime rate.	Defra advice applies	To be included in future LN	Open
		e) Overtime for Agricultural Workers - is it appropriate that an LP should have their licence revoked for non payment of overtime (i.e. regarded as a breach of 2.8)	A failure to pay overtime to agricultural workers would not be a failure of 7.3 (contractual terms), but there could be a failure of 7.3 if it is not made clear that such payments are due to those engaged in	To be included	Open

No	Meeting	Description	Response	Licensing News	Status
			agricultural work.		
			We don't think it would be appropriate to regard it as a failure of 2.9 for two reasons – firstly that it would be a double-count it, and secondly because this standard is about benefits other than directly wage-related.		
			It will be counted against 2.8 (minimum wage), where failure is identified. This makes it clear that the LP is not compliant with the agricultural minimum wage rules.		
12	16/05/07	Awaiting written confirmation re LS 5.1 "Workers are allowed to take statutory breaks" that in a situation where workers are allowed to take them but choose not to that this will not be a non-compliance if workers are aware and there is no coercion.	This interpretation is correct. We will publish in Licensing News that the GLA will seek confirmation that workers are made aware of their entitlement to statutory breaks, and that the employer ensures that they are taken in accordance with DTI (now BERR) guidance.	To be included in a future LN	Open
13	16/05/07 And 19/09/07	Awaiting written confirmation how the GLA will apply LS 9.1 where not all workers have NI numbers.	GLA expects all UK citizens to have a NINo. For migrant workers we recognise that a NINo will need to be applied for, and there may be some delay in	To be included in a future LN	Open

No	Meeting	Description	Response	Licensing News	Status
			allocation. We therefore expect to be able to see that workers have applied or obtained a NINo, and addressed any exceptions (e.g. where a worker may not have even applied for a NINo after 3 months). GLA to confirm with the DWP		
14	16/05/07	In LS 6.8 what specifically are regarded as "obvious/identifiable serious safety defects".	There are three common sense tests:	To be included in a future LN	Open
			<ul> <li>Is the vehicle being maintained (MOT certificates, maintenance records);</li> </ul>		
			Would you feel safe in travelling in the vehicle (there must be no obvious problems such as unsafe seats/doors/no seatbelts;		
			Is the identified fault fixable quickly (e.g. buy a new tyre/get a new bulb of brake light cover). Faults have to be visible - we do not		
			conduct technical inspections. In cases of doubt we will refer to VOSA/police vehicle		

No	Meeting	Description	Response	Licensing News	Status
			examiners		
15	16/05/07	Awaiting written confirmation that GLA are applying Court of Appeal finding in HMRC v Thorn Baker Ltd that LP need not pay SSP to workers on fixed term contracts of no more than 3 months	The GLA is applying the finding	To be included in a future LN	Open
17	19/09/07	Position of Bulgarians and Romanians to be included in LN. Specific focus on self employed status	Agreed	To be included in a future edition of LN	Open
18	19/09/07	User group to provide comments by 3/10/07 on Paper: Determining when to Revoke with Immediate Effect. GLA to then provide guidance on where revocation will be with immediate effect.		TBC	Open
19	19/09/07	GLA to provide advice on public register on approach for revocations and reapplications.		To be included in a future edition of LN	Open
20	19/09/07	GLA to add extra paragraph to Public Register: Refusals and Revocations Paper to clarify the position for Licences Revoked Without Immediate Effect and who have applied again.		No	Open
21	19/09/07	GLA to clarify how information is released to the press with additional points on current operations when LPs will be named and circumstances when licenses are revoked and re-applied taking into account the appeals process.	Position to be published on GLA website under press section.	No	Open
22	19/09/07	GLA to consider ways to disclose ALC status and what guidance should be made available to LUs.		No	Open
23	19/09/07	GLA to clarify what 'effectively providing' accommodation means.		To be in published in a future edition of LN	Open

No	Meeting	Description	Response	Licensing News	Status
24	19/09/07	GLA to clarify with the HMRC NWM Enforcement		To be in published in a	Open
		Team the position on deductions for transport.		future edition of LN	
25	19/09/07	To provide further clarification on PPE (to be agreed		To be in published in a	Open
		with HSE as necessary.		future edition of LN	
26	19/09/07	GLA to check with Defra the AWO rates (re. holiday		Yes	Open
		entitlement).			